PhyreEngineTM Terrain

Jason & Doig SCEE R&D



* Very common requirement

* Current solutions not so great

* There are better ways...



* Choosing the technology



* Implementation and performance



* Represent a variety of terrain types

* Vertical surfaces and overhangs

* Caves and tunnels

* Surfaces with genus > 0



* Scalable - terrains are big

* Needs to be streamable

* Need view dependent LOP

* Unique geometry and texture

* Must be easy to edit



* Heightfields

Not good for complex topology, even with displacements

* Meshes

* Too much data, unwieldy at a large scale

High order surfaces

- * Smooth surface is unsuitable
 - * Terrain is not often smooth
 - * Probably need a displacement
- However LOD is good subdivision
- * Still need good authoring tools

Subdivision Surfaces

* Mesh based authoring

- * No restrictions for topology
- * Requires displacements for detail

* LOD fairly easy

* Especially if we subdivide the terrain





- * Each patch store:
 - * Low res mesh keep in memory
 - * Full topology, UV coords, etc.
 - * High res positions only stream
 * No topology or UVs

Authoring

* Build a terrain out of low-res meshes

* Subdivide into a smooth hi-res mesh

* Sculpt the hi-res detail

* No topology changes to hi-res!

* Apply textures - including normal map

Unanswered Questions

- * How to put meshes together
- * Need to handle subdivision across meshes
- * How flexible will mesh layout be?
- * Runtime implementation
- * Texturing and sculpting

* On a grid!

* Terrain tends to be 20 on large scale

* Simplifies LOD greatly

* Pownsides

* May restrict alignment of features

- * Custom tool for laying down patches
- * Handle palette of low-res shapes
- * Place low-res mesh instances into level
- * Create smooth subdivisions
- * Export/import sections for sculpting and texturing

- * Many packages can sculpt polygons
 - * We just need to import/export
- * Want to ignore mesh boundaries
 - * Sculpt continuously across edge
 - * No geometric restrictions
- * Allow arbitrary selection of polys

* Want unique texturing

- * Need to stream
 - * Could use one giant texture
 - * i.e. virtual-texture techniques

Piscrete meshes on a grid allows a simpler solution - texture per mesh.

Editing texture

* Need to edit discrete textures seamlessly - treat as continuous

* Need to paint multiple channels

* colour, bump, material parameters...

* Tools exist, but didn't fit our needs

* Allows painting onto a 3D mesh

- * Allows painting across multiple meshes
- * Allows painting of multiple channels

* Pirectly shows displacement maps

Terrain Paint Demo

* Exports whole meshes for texture editing

* Doesn't make sense to only partially edit a texture

Texture Compression

- * Lots of texture data
 - * 72 bits per texel
 - * 9MB per patch.
- * DXT gives a fixed reduction
 - * But isn't always appropriate
- * Flexible compression would be good

Compression Schemes

* DXT is not ideal

* But good for runtime!

* Tricky to further compress DXT data

* Good ratios require lossy compression

- * Compress single channels
 - * Optional colour space conversation
 - * Can downsample
- * Compress in blocks
 - * Exploit small-scale structure

* Good for re-compressing to DXT

Block Compression

- * PCT based (like JPEG)
- * Transform block into cosine terms
- * Quantize terms
 - * This is the lossy step!
 - * Adjustable for different channels / ratios

Stream Compression

* Order block into 10 stream

* Run-length encode any zeros

* Quantization should discard a lot

* Terminate if no non-zero values

* Standard data compression on result

* Decode blocks of three channels

* Upsample pixels and CSC if necessary

* Optionally re-encode as DXT

- * Find a palette for each block
 - * Not completely trivial
 - * Some entries are interpolated
 - * Choice of interpolation
- Match texels to palette entries
 Simple distance no dithering...

DXT Implementation

- * Attempt 1 port of Squish
 - * Lots of loops and conditionals
 - * Didn't work well on SPU
- * Attempt 2 naive min/max algorithm
 - * Looked very poor

DXT Implementation

- * Attempt 3 principal axis
 - * More or less what Squish does
 - * Fairly maths heavy
- * Optimisations
 - * AOS -> SOA

* Move from float to integer (ucharl 6)

- * Naive min/max
 - * 120 cycles/block (7.5 cycles/pixel)
- * Full float implementation
 - * 530 cycles/block (33 cycles/pixel)
- * Optimised principal axis code
 - * 325 cycles/block (20 cycles/pixel)

Compression Ratio

- * Currently we're aiming at 10:1
- * Slightly better than DXT for colour
 - * But better quality if we don't re-DXT
- * Much better than uncompressed
 - * Good for normals and material info

* Low-res meshes always loaded

* No current need for reduced LOP

* Hi-res content streamed

* LOP chosen and streamed per-block

* Geometry can morph between levels

LOD levels

- * LOD level calculated as Chebyshev distance to camera
- * Highest level in nearest 4 blocks
- * Falls off to lowest level
- * No more than 1 level difference to adjacent blocks

* Required for stitching and morphing

Geometry Processing

* Limited memory

* Subdivided dynamically every frame

* No geometry caching

* Continuous LOD (geo-morphing)

Stitching and Morphing

* Patches can morph between levels

- * Adjacent patches can be 1 base-level different
- * Edges clamped to LOP of adjacent patch
- * Clamping done as a fix-up pass after subdivision

Geometry Processing

- * Geometry processed in parallel
- * Terrain patch geometry split into smaller chunks
 - * Need to fit into a buffer on SPU
- * Custom routines for each LOP
 - * Faster than a generic routine

Basic Performance

- * General Scene complexity
 - * Usually around 20 visible patches
 - * Generates about 70,000 triangles
 - * More if re-rendering for shadows
- * Terrain tessellation is 50% of 1 SPU
 * Decompression scalable on remainder

Geometry Performance

* Single SPU

* Lowest LOD around 11 M verts/s

* Highest LOD around 35 M verts/s

* Note - these are *after* culling

* Vertices generated actually higher

* Typically needs 1.2MB/s

- * Peaks at 4MB/s in short bursts
- * Quite comfortable for HDD
- * Texture Decompression Speed
 - * 16 70 million texels/s non-DXT
 * 35 40 million texels/s DXT

More Performance

* Scales linearly with more cores

* Until we run of out of bandwidth

* Or the GPU bottlenecks

* Typically these don't happen for us

- * Displaced subdivision surfaces are cool
 - * Flexible geometry
 - * Good LOP
- * Consider alternative compression
 - * Re-compress to runtime format
- * Performs well on modern platforms

Questions?

